

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/708,548	BEENAU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel A. Hess	2876	
All Participants:		Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Daniel A. Hess</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Mr. Carl Wischhusen</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>23 January 2006</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>n/a</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>1-46</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>n/a</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Applicant agreed to amend each independent claim to clarify that the transaction device is 'translucent or transparent.' Also applicant agreed to cancel claim 34, which would not have been allowable.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)